decree against that case." *Microsoft*, 56 F.3d at 1459. Since "[t]he court's authority to review the decree depends entirely on the government's exercising its prosecutorial discretion by bringing a case in the first place," it follows that the Court "is only authorized to review the decree itself," and not to "effectively redraft the complaint" to inquire into other matters that the United States might have but did not pursue. *Id.*

VIII. Determinative documents

There are no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of the APPA that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: July 31, 2000.
Respectfully submitted,
Arnold C. Celnicker,
Georgia Bar No. 118050, U.S. Department of
Justice, 325 7th Street, NW, Suite 400,
Washington, D.C. 20530, (202) 514–2474.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on this day of July 31, 2000, I caused a copy of the Competitive Impact Statement to be served by U.S. First Class Mail or overnight delivery upon:

Stephen J. Marzen, Shearman & Sterling, 801 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 900, Washington, D.C. 20004–2604, (202) 508–8174, Attorney for Flowserve Corporation

David I. Gelfand, Mark W. Nelson, Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton, 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006–1801, (202) 974–1500, Attorneys for Ingersoll-Dresser Pump Company and Ingersoll-Rand Company

Arnold C. Celnicker,

Trial Attorney, Georgia Bar No. 118050, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 7th Street, N.W., Suite 400, Washington, DG 20530, (202) 305–7498.

[FR Doc. 00–20625 Filed 9–12–00; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cable Television Laboratories, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on March 10, 1999, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Cable Television Laboratories, Inc. ("CableLabs") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney

General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Moffat Communications, Inc., Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; Charter Communications, St. Louis, MO; and Access Communications Inc., Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and CableLabs intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 8, 1988, CableLabs filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 7, 1988 (53 FR 34593).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 28, 1998. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on April 3, 2000 (65 FR 17535).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 00–23423 Filed 9–12–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Digital Imaging Group, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on August 2, 2000, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Digital Imaging Group, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Altamira Group, Burbank, CA; PhotoDex, Inc., Austin, TX; ScanSoft, Inc., Peabody, MA; Vyou.com Inc., San Jose, CA; The Workbook, Inc., Los Angeles, CA; NewHeights Software, Inc., Victoria, British Columbia, Canada; and BizDesign, Inc., Dallas, TX have

been added as parties to this venture. Also, BrandEra.com, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Digital Zone International A/S, Aarhus C, Denmark; FotoWire Development SA, Geneve, Switzerland; G&A Imaging, Hull, Quebec, Canada; Fonecam, San Diego, CA; NTT Communications, Tokyo, Japan; and Kablink Corporation, San Diego, CA have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Digital Imaging Group, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 25, 1997, Digital Imaging Group, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on November 10, 1997 (62 FR 60530).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 4, 2000. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 1, 2000 (65 FR 46950).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 00–23422 Filed 9–12–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Gas Utilization Research Forum ("GURF")

Notice is hereby given that, on May 18, 1999, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Gas Utilization Research Forum ("GURF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Institut Français Du Petrole (IFP), Cedex, France, has become a new member to GURF and Columbia Gas of Ohio, Columbus, OH is no longer participating in GURF.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned